

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-67 were previously pending in this case.

Claims 52-55 have been allowed.

Claims 39, 43, 47-51 and 56-64 are rejected.

5 Claims 44-46 and 56-64 have been deemed to contain allowable subject matter.

Claims 1-38, 44 and 65-67 have been cancelled.

Claims 45, 46 and 56 have been amended.

Claims 68-100 are new.

10 The limits of allowed claim 44 have been introduced into claim 39. Claim 44 is cancelled. As a result of this amendment, dependent claims 40-43; 45 and 47-51 are in condition for allowance.

Allowed claim 46 has been rewritten in independent form so that it includes all the limits of base claim 39. New claims 68-77 have been entered. The new claims contain limits previously presented in claims 40-43; 45 and 47-51 and supported in the specification.

15 New claims 78-87 have been entered. These new claims depend from allowed claim 52 and contain limits previously presented in claims 40-43; 45 and 47-51 and supported in the specification.

With regard to the Examiner's rejection of Claims 56-64 under 35 USC §112, the Applicant has amended claim 56 to read:

20

Apparatus for blood glucose control, comprising:

at least one electrode adapted to apply an electric field to pancreatic tissue; and

25 circuitry adapted to electrify said at least one electrode and configured to electrify said electrode in a manner which reduces glucose levels and does not substantially elevate insulin levels above a baseline value, when glucose levels are elevated.

30 Because the phrase "said at least one electrode" was already present in claim 56 prior to the amendment, it is clear that the amendment is merely a correction of a clerical error and does not constitute an introduction of new matter. The Applicant respectfully suggests that this amendment brings claims 56-64 into condition for allowance.

New claims 98-100 which depend from claims 39, 46 and 56 are supported in the specification at least on page 58, line 32.

The Examiner's rejection of Claims 39, 41, 47, 50 and 51 under 35 USC §102(a) is rendered moot by the amendment to claim 39.

The Examiner's rejection of Claims 40, 42, 43, 48 and 49 under 35 USC §103(a) is rendered moot by the amendment to claim 39.

5 The Applicant respectfully suggests that claims 39-43, 45-64 and 68-97 are in condition for allowance. Prompt notice of allowance is respectfully and earnestly solicited. The Applicant reserves the right to file divisional and/or continuation applications in the future.

10 If, however, the Examiner is of the opinion that a telephone conversation may forward the present application toward allowance, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner call the undersigned at 1 (877) 428-5468. Please note that this is a direct *toll free* number in the US that is answered in the undersigned's Israel office. Israel is 7 hours ahead of Washington.

15

Respectfully submitted,  
Tami MARHL

20

Todd A. SERBIN  
Reg. No. 45,087

June 22, 2006

William H. Dippert, Esq.  
Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP  
250 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10177

Tel: (212) 986-1116

25

30